Proceedings of the XI Winter Meeting on Low Temperature Physics

CERAMIC SUPERCONDUCTORS

14 — 17 January 1990, Cocoyoc, Morelos, Mexico

Editors

J A Cogordan
E Sansores
T Akachi
A A Valladares

World Scientific

Proceedings of the XI Winter Meeting on Low Temperature Physics

CERAMIC SUPERCONDUCTORS

14 — 17 January 1990, Cocoyoc, Morelos, Mexico

Editors

J A Cogordan
E Sansores
T Akachi
A A Valladares
Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales
Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico

CONTENTS

INVITED PAPERS

HIGH TEMPERATURE SUPERCONDUCTIVITY: CHALLENGES FOR THE 1990'S

P. M. Grant

IBM Research Division
Almaden Research Center
650 Harry Road
San Jose, California 95120-6099

ABSTRACT: We will review events leading to the discovery of high temperature superconductivity almost four years ago this month, discuss progress in synthesis, applications, and understanding of basic physical properties dating from that time, and finally address areas which are likely to present major challenges in the decade of the 1990's. With respect to the latter, we point to the necessity for thorough materials characterization as requisite for all attempts to build theoretical models for both the microscopic and macroscopic mechanisms of high temperature superconductivity.

Introduction

The science of superconductivity began, of course, with its discovery in 1911 by Kamerlingh Onnes,[1] and ushered in a new era of successive exciting discoveries in low temperature physics which continues to this day. It arose as a consequence of the steady improvement in gas liquifaction machinery during the 19th century, stressing the always important recurring interplay between science and technology as each progresses. Since Onnes' seminal measurement on mercury, literally hundreds of superconducting materials have been found. In fact, superconductivity is a rather pervasive phenomena in metallic compounds -- the number of metals and alloys that superconduct far outnumber those that do not. Whenever a new non-ferromagnetic metal is discovered, the odds that it will be a superconductor are very high. However, history also teaches that the transition temperature could be expected to be low, and, until recently, require liquid helium refrigeration.

For many years, workers in the field speculated and dreamt about the possibility that higher transition temperatures could be eventually achieved. One of the great triumphs of the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer theory had been the identification of the electron-phonon interaction as the "bosonic-glue" by which electrons paired to give the superconducting state. Yet this same mechanism seemed to constrain the upper limits of accessible transition temperatures to a few percent of the lattice Debye temperature or else the material would suffer the consequence of inevitable structural instabilities arising from ever stronger electron-phonon coupling. On the other hand, the mathematical framework of the BCS theory did not restrict the boson field to phonons -- in principle, any well-behaved boson would do. Therefore, in the 1960's Bill Little[2] at Stanford and Vasily Ginzburg[3] in the Soviet Union proposed structural models whereby the pairing bosons were excitons whose characteristic energies were 10-20 times that of phonons. A period of intense activity followed in the 70's searching for appropriate material embodiments, concentrating mostly on organic and/or low dimensional systems (none have been found with $T_c > 13$ K...yet!). Most importantly, the initiatives of Little and Ginzburg encouraged the exploration of all sorts of "exotic" compounds in pursuit of higher transition temperatures, leading eventually to the discovery of superconductivity at greater than 10 K in lithium titanate by Dave Johnston[4] and Pb-doped barium bismuth oxide by Art Sleight.[5] This proof that significant transition temperatures could occur in metal oxides paved the way for the breakthrough of Georg Bednorz and Alex Mueller.[6]

It is interesting -- perhaps ironic -- that Berndt Matthias, who uncovered more superconducting materials than any other researcher of his time, yet remained a vocal skeptic of the possibility of T_c's much above 30 K, and Alex Mueller, eventual co-discoverer of just such a compound, were contemporaries from the same European institute and worked initially with ferroelectric oxides. Matthias went on to concentrate on superconductivity in metal alloy systems (I am told he intended to begin a search for superconductivity in ferroelectrics and transition metal oxides shortly before his untimely death in 1980), whereas

Mueller proceeded to build his career on research in ferroelectricity. This background opened his thinking to the possibility that unusually strong carrier-lattice interactions, like those which might occur in mixed-valent transition metal oxide Jahn-Teller configurations, could provide a path to higher transition temperatures. Around 1984, along with Bednorz, he began such a search, initially in nickelate compounds, which led to the discovery of high temperature superconductivity in barium-doped La_2CuO_{4-y} in early 1986, almost four years ago this month. It remains to be seen whether Mueller's intuition was right or if some other pairing mechanism is responsible for high-T_c. Nonetheless, the principal lesson of the copper oxide perovskite discoveries is that unusual superconductivity is most likely to occur in unusual materials beyond the scope of the conventional current wisdom. It is important to understand that high temperature superconductivity could have been discovered much earlier than 1986. It is actually hard to make La_2CuO_{4-y} without small regions of excess oxygen which give rise to trace superconductivity...and the compound has been around since the 50's! Nobody, until Bednorz and Mueller, was motivated to look for superconductivity in this seemingly most unpromising class of materials.

Progress in New Materials

Layered copper oxide perovskites remain the paradigm for high temperature superconductivity. Since early 1988, no new copper oxide materials have been discovered with T_c higher than the thallium 2223 125 K compound.[7] Two of the major challenges for the decade of the 1990's will be to both reach out for higher transition temperatures and to search for non-CuO-based high temperature superconductors, perhaps expanding on the "medium-T_c" $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}BiO_{3-y}$ compound discovered in 1988 by Bob Cava and his colleagues at AT&T.[8]

Although no new T_c records have been recently set in planar copper oxides, interesting and important variations still continue to be found. In 1989 the first materials exhibiting n-type behavior in the normal state with T_c = 21-24 K were synthesized using Nd_2CuO_{4-y} as the host structure,[9] and I will devote my discussion on new materials exclusively to these. Unlike La_2CuO_{4-y}, where divalent alkaline earth cations are substituted on the lanthanide site, the neodymium cations are replaced by tetravalent cerium and charge conservation implies the production of carriers with negative sign as indeed supported by the results of Hall and Seebeck effect measurements. Figure 1 compares the structures of the two compounds and the respective doping sites. The key difference is the location of the oxygens coordinated to the lanthanide position within each structure, resulting in the presence of a Cu-O plane apical oxygen in La_2CuO_{4-y} and its absence in Nd_2CuO_{4-y}. I believe this is the critical factor which permits delocalized holes in the former and delocalized electrons in the latter, and not _vice versa_, as confirmed by many unsuccessful attempts at cross-doping. If true, then the host structure choice for n-type superconductivity is restricted to the Nd_2CuO_{4-y} T' class, at least for the present, inasmuch as all other Cu-O planar perovskite structures have at least

Fig. 1. Unit·cells of La_2CuO_{4-y} and Nd_2CuO_{4-y}.
Note the absence of apical oxygens
in the latter structure.

one apically coordinated plane and appear p-type, with any accompanying non-apical planes "dead" with regard to transport; e. g., the middle Cu-O layer in the 2223 structure. The only structural exception that I know is $Ca_{0.86}Sr_{0.14}CuO_2$; however, there is no obvious location for tetravalent cationic substitution. One of the synthetic challenges for the 90's will be for chemists to discover other n-type systems with hopefully higher T_c's, but right now the outlook seems bleak.

Regarding the nature of electrical conduction in the Cu-O planes, the present picture is that hole transport occurs on the network of oxygen ligands in p-type compounds. On chemical grounds, it would be difficult to argue that electrons move in the same band -- their more natural level would be derived from Cu^{+1} states. To explain the experimental existence of charge symmetry in high temperature superconductors, we may have to rethink the location of hole transport in the p-type materials. The Cu-O bond is clearly a hybridization of O 2p and Cu 3d states, and it may be overly simplistic to identify transport in either case as occurring on a specific ionic level.

There are several important differences in the chemical and physical properties of the p- and n-type compounds. First of all, the "apparent" carrier concentration range over which superconductivity appears is much narrower for the n-type systems[11] ($0.15 < x < 0.18$ in $Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCuO_{4-y}$) than for the analogous p-type materials[12] ($0.10 < x < 0.23$ in $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_{4-y}$). I say "apparent" because it is not clear to what extent doping fractions can be translated to carrier concentrations. In addition, achieving homogeneous doping by diffusing tetravalent cations in the Nd_2CuO_{4-y} host is quite difficult compared to the p-type materials. Doping alone does not lead to superconducting samples -- a tricky and mysterious oxygen reduction process must be undergone, and a variety of transition temperatures running from 21-29 K can be obtained depending on reduction conditions. The paper given by Maria Lopez-Morales[13] at this conference addresses some of these issues. One might expect to be able to obtain superconductivity by oxygen reduction of undoped Nd_2CuO_{4-y} in analogy to oxygen-rich La_2CuO_{4-y}. However, efforts to find superconductivity in Nd_2CuO_{4-y} this way by ourselves and others we have heard from have to date have failed -- another mystery. Understanding the details of oxygen processing in the 2-1-4 compounds presents an interesting challenge to materials scientists to start the decade of the 90's.

One very intriguing feature about Nd_2CuO_{4-y} is that it is the first structure to be successfully (i. e., "beneficially") doped with fluorine or any other anion for that matter. First accomplished at AT&T,[14] our confirming work done at IBM Almaden[15] is summarized in Figs. 2 and 3. We have strong indirect evidence, derived from testing several chemical synthetic routes, that fluorine substitutes as shown in Fig. 2 -- on an oxygen site attached to Nd. Attempts to fluorinate the Cu-O plane did not yield superconducting samples. It appears that a necessary requirement for anion doping is that an ancillary oxygen site, not coordinated in or to the Cu-O plane, be available for substitution. As in the cation case, the potential candidate host structures seem limited right now

Fig. 2. X—ray diffraction pattern and structure
for $Nd_2CuO_{3.75-y}F_{0.25}$. Impurity phases
and pattern simulation are indicated.

Fig. 3.   Resistivity vs. temperature for
$Nd_2CuO_{3.75-y}F_{0.25}$

to the Nd_2CuO_{4-y} class and another challenge of the 90's for chemists would be to discover other materials amenable to anion doping.

Finally, we note the activated dependence of the normal state resistivity on temperature of the $Nd_2CuO_{4-y-x}F_x$ sample as shown in Fig. 3. This behavior was characteristic of all initial reports on n-type samples, and, in my opinion, is an indication of the presence of a considerable amount of insulating phase even in more recently reported data where, although the overall slope of ρ vs. T is positive, the temperature dependence is not linear, especially in the region just above T_C.

Recent Experimental Results

The normal state properties of all previously known superconductors has been well described by Fermi liquid theory; i.e., that the ground and low lying excited states of a correlated many-body ensemble of electrons can be approximated in a single particle picture embodied by a Fermi surface with a singular boundary at zero temperature. Whether this is also true for high temperature superconductors has become a major theoretical issue. Many of the traditional magneto-oscillatory experiments used to probe Fermi surface structure are inaccessible to the new materials due to their exceedingly large upper critical fields. One generally accepted way of detecting the presence of a Fermi surface in metals is to use angle-resolve photoemission (ARPES) to look for conduction band dispersion in the vicinity of the Fermi energy and associated increases in photoemission yield as the band crosses E_F. ARPES studies on single crystal Bi 2212, recently done by Olson[16] and colleagues at Ames-Los Alamos-Argonne, indeed reveal such behavior thus providing the strongest evidence yet that the high-T_c normal state is Fermi liquid-like. In one important respect, however, the data depart from classical Fermi liquid models in that the lifetime broadening of photoemissive yield peak varies as $E - E_F$ rather than the $(E - E_F)^2$ required by the conventional theory. More ARPES studies need to be done on other high-T_c compounds to firmly establish the nature of the normal state and its relationship to the Fermi liquid model -- another challenge for the 90's.

An additional signature of classical BCS-like superconductors is the presence of an optical gap in the superconducting state whose energy has a prescribed temperature dependence in the range $0 < T < T_c$. A large literature has developed on optical experiments in high-T_c materials, containing controversial data and interpretations as to whether a gap exists, or can be seen, its temperature dependence, and its magnitude, $2\Delta(T = 0)/kT_c$, the latter a measure of the strength of the carrier-boson coupling in the BCS-Eliashberg framework. Recently, Zack Schlesinger and Reuben Collins,[17] and their co-workers at IBM and Argonne, performed polarized reflectance experiments on untwinned single crystals of $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ in which they were able to look exclusively at optical excitations normal to the chain system and thus characteristic only of the Cu-O planes. They found a distinctive gap-like feature with no residual structure below T_c. This residual structure, seen by both the IBM group and others

In previous twinned single crystal studies, is ascribed by Schlesinger and Collins to contributions from chain conductivity projected onto all directions of polarization in the a-b plane. However, their gap-like structure has an exceedingly weak, non-BCS-like, temperature dependence of its energy, quite unlike that found in low-T_c compounds. Moreover, the magnitude of the gap is around $7 - 8$ kT_c, consistent with their earlier results and also with more recent tunneling data, yet enormously high compared to low-T_c superconductors. The optical data now appears quite definitive and a theory of the pairing mechanism must accommodate it -- one more future challenge.

Before going on to theoretical matters, I want to mention one experimental issue that seems to have been definitively settled in 1989 -- that is the matter of the pair wave function symmetry. Bill Little[18] and his students at Stanford, and independently Praveen Chaudhari[19] at IBM, have demonstrated the existence of persistent currents in composite rings of $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ in series with a conventional superconductor like Nb or Pb. Persistent current in such a structure could not be maintained unless the pair wave function possessed the same symmetry for each superconductor in series, and, since that symmetry is known to be s-wave in Nb and Pb, it must be the same in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ (more precisely, the highest symmetry allowed by its space group), otherwise the loop current would decay rapidly due to mismatch of pair functions of unlike symmetry. This conclusion is reinforced by the results of Chaudhari which were obtained on epitaxial thin films where the contact direction to the Pb bridge was along the c-axis.

Current Theoretical Issues

There is as yet no generally accepted theory of high-T_C. I made this remark at last year's Low Temperature Physics conference and it is even more appropriate today. One of the most actively discussed new ideas to emerge last year is the so-called "marginal" Fermi liquid picture proposed by Varma, Littlewood and Schmitt-Rink (AT&T), and Abrahams and Ruckenstein (Rutgers),[20] known collectively as the "five friends." Essentially they replace the energy scaling parameter (the Fermi energy) of conventional Fermi liquid theory by temperature and cut-off this temperature dependence when it exceeds the magnitude of the frequency in the frequency dependent susceptibility. That is, $\chi" \sim \omega/E_F$ goes over to $\chi" \sim \omega/T$, $\omega/T < 1$, $\chi" \sim 1$, $\omega/T > 1$. This purely empirical model yields agreement with the observed linear dependence of resistivity on temperature, with a frequency dependent Drude lifetime in the near-IR, with s-wave pairing and strong coupling and with a number of other experimental findings including the linear dependence of the photoemissive peak width with energy separation from the Fermi surface, already mentioned previously. However, what underlying physics may be behind the phenomenology remains unclear.

Some of the recent experimental results have brought about a re-thinking of RVB-related models. At a workshop in Aspen last week, Phil Anderson interpreted the ARPES results as evidence for charge-spin separation, one of the

mainstays of the theory from the beginning. However, it is the feeling of most theoreticians that the ARPES data presents the most serious experimental challenge to the RVB picture to date.

Lately, there has been a interesting renaissance of lattice vibration-type models. Alex Mueller[21] has recently made a detailed analysis of the oxygen isotope effect in a number of high-T_c compounds and by partitioning the small observed shifts among various oxygen sites, concludes there is evidence that the anharmonicity of the apical oxygen contributes to the enhancement or generation of superconductivity. Computer simulations by Morgenstern[22] on the 2D Hubbard model including an anharmonic electron-phonon interaction show that superconductivity can arise through such a channel. Recent copper x-ray absorption edge structure[23] on $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ indicates an antiferroelectric-like softening of a double-well apical oxygen potential accompanying the superconducting transition. The very existence of superconductivity in the n-type compounds, without apical oxygens, demands that such a mode cannot be the sole underlying pairing mechanism for high-T_c, but rather provides a "pump" to higher T_c in those compounds that possess this site. Two tests of this conjecture would be the finding of a total absence of an oxygen isotope effect in the n-type compounds and whether planar Cu-O systems without apical positions but with substantially higher transition temperatures ($T_c > 60$ K) can be eventually synthesized.

Role of Microscopic Inhomogeneities

It has long been recognized that macroscopic inhomogeneity (granularity, twinning, voids, phase separation, etc.) play a significant role in many of the physical properties of the perovskite high temperature superconductors. More attention now needs to be turned to effects of microscopic inhomogeneity. First of all, on what length scale do we define the term "microscopic?" In the framework of transport and superconducting properties, this means the carrier mean free path and pair coherence length, respectively. For high-T_c materials, both these parameters are extremely small, of the order 10-20 Å, and highly anisotropic. A perspective on these extremely short distances against the background of "uniformly" doped $La_{1.8}Sr_{0.2}CuO_4$ is given in Fig. 4, where we show several unit cells of the La_2CuO_{4-y} structure repeated along the a-b plane with La sites randomly doped with Sr, compared to the extent of the pair coherence length ellipsoid. It is clear that, by no means, can the material be considered uniform with respect to the coherence volume, even at the doping level considered to give the highest T_c in this compound. For lower levels of cationic substitution, the distribution on this length scale is even more non-uniform. The same reasoning can be applied to other systems where cationic substitution or non-stoichiometry is required to produce the carriers necessary to sustain metallic behavior and superconductivity. The only exception (perhaps) is $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ with $y = 0$. For $y \neq 0$, similar scales of inhomogeniety arise. The inescapable conclusion is that the length scale defining "granularity" in high-T_c compounds is far shorter than that dealt with in traditional low-T_c superconductors.

$La_{1.8}Sr_{0.2}CuO_4$

Coherence Length Ellipsoid:
$\xi_{ab} = 20$ Å; $\xi_c = 6$ Å

Fig. 4. $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ doped to $x = 0.2$ at randomly
selected La sites, shown as solid dots,
compared to the dimensions of the coherence
length ellipsoid.

There are several pervasive experimental behaviors which indicate this to be the case. One is the universal observation that both the Meissner fraction and the shielding fraction decrease when one dopes or oxygen reduces a given high-T_c compound below its highest attainable transition temperature. In this situation, the shielding fraction measured by a.c. susceptibility becomes strongly magnetic field dependent in very small (< 1 gauss) applied fields. Moreover, only at the "optimum" carrier concentration, i.e., highest T_c, is a well-developed specific heat anomaly observed. Finally, as T_c is lowered through reduction or decreased doping, the normal state resistivity takes on an activated aspect as one approaches the critical temperature.

These observations all suggest some sort of non-bulk, percolative behavior reminiscent of granular superconductors, but at a length dimension far less than the traditional grain or particle size. The reduced transition temperature observed in $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_{4-y}$, for example, as x is decreased from 0.2, might arise from "size effects" due to agglomeration and separation of dopant on the scale of ζ_{ab} and ζ_c in analogy to similar behavior found in very small particles or very thin films of low-T_c materials. A consideration of Fig. 4 certainly gives one reason to believe this could happen. If true, the current picture of the dependence of superconducting properties on carrier concentration would have to be thoroughly re-examined. A formidable problem, and challenge, is to find materials characterization tools that can probe inhomogeneity and defects on such small dimensions and suitable for a wide variety of elements, especially oxygen.

Nanometer scale defects could also prove crucial to what were previously considered "macroscopic" properties, e.g., critical current. Evidence is ac-cruing that the strongest pinning centers are associated with point defects, rather than at dislocations or grain boundaries as in previous type II super-conductors. Some estimates have placed the point defect density at one every fourth cell along the c-direction in high-J_c thin films. It is speculated this defect may be an oxygen vacancy, but, once again, there exists as yet no satisfactory tool to detect the presence or absence of this almost invisible el-ement on the atomic scale.

Applications

A year ago I mentioned in my Winter Meeting talk that no major revenue producing applications were in store for high-T_c for the foreseeable future -- this still remains true. No new ideas or technological applications have emerged where high temperature superconductors would enable a large new market vastly beyond the capability of the low-T_c materials. Such an event is exactly what was hoped for at the beginning of high-T_c and which will be needed in the future for widespread application and to sustain basic research at the current high level. I believe this requirement is far more important than incremental increases in T_c and J_c and improvements in processibility, vital as these factors may be. It is not enough to simply target conventional power,

electromechanical and electronic technologies that low-T_c had taken aim at long ago. For the commercialization of high-T_c to really take off, someone has to come up with an application like data processing and mass communication that required planar semiconductor technology, or the huge airline and travel industry that was enabled by the jet engine. I am not saying this will not happen for high-T_c, but where, when and how are the critical questions.

While awaiting this momentous occasion, there has nonetheless been much progress toward the goals of high critical currents and more flexible materials processing for both bulk and thin film applications. Bulk wires and tapes now exist with $J_c \sim 800 - 1000 A/cm^2$ at fields of 1000 gauss in the laboratory which will allow the prototyping of small electric motors and power distribution cables. These figures are even more impressive with respect to thin films. Highly oriented films can now be obtained on a variety of substrates by both sputtering and laser ablation that have J_c's in excess of 10^6 A/cm^2 at 77 K in fields as high as 1 Tesla. Such achievements demonstrate that high-T_c materials show promise of penetrating the traditional application areas of low-T_c superconductors, but, as noted above, there is not yet any indication of expanding greatly thereby the presently existing superconductivity market.

Summary

Research in high temperature superconductivity continues apace, but not with the rapid growth seen in the last two years. The January 1st issue of High-T_c Update lists 73 preprints from 15 countries (52 from the USA), fairly typical of recent months. More work is being reported from Eastern Europe and small Third World countries (e.g. Sri Lanka) underlying the truly international nature of the field.

We have tried in this talk to identify some of the challenges that need addressing in the decade of the 90's -- actually, they should be thought of as opportunities -- which we will now summarize.

- **New Materials**
    - Continue to discover new copper oxide compounds, perhaps with higher transition temperatures, but most importantly to help understand the mysteries of high temperature superconductivity.
    - Explore whether metal oxide systems not based on copper can be found which are metallic and superconducting at liquid nitrogen temperatures.
    - Search to extend the class of n-type materials, especially with higher transition temperatures in mind, in order to further probe lattice structure requirements for high temperature superconductivity,
    - Understand the necessity for oxygen reduction in the n-type compounds and whether other examples of anion doping can be found.
    - Persist in exploring "off the beaten path" materials, such as organics, as potential candidates for high temperature superconductors.

- **Experiment**
  - Continue studies relating to the applicability of Fermi liquid models to high-T_C, especially ARPES and other spectroscopies.
- **Theory**
  - Distill from a comparison of the properties and electronic structure of the p- and n-type compounds clues to the nature of high-T_C.
  - Accommodate the weak temperature dependence of gap and associated large magnitude compared to T_C.
  - Investigate physics underlying the "marginal" Fermi liquid model.
  - Continue to explore the role of the apical oxygen in high-T_C compounds.
  - Determine the true role of magnetic interactions in producing (or suppressing!) the pairing interaction in high temperature superconductivity, as well as pursue other possible pairing mechanisms.
- **Characterization**
  - Understand effects of nanometer scale inhomogeneities on transport and superconducting properties of doped and reduced materials.
  - Develop analytical methods to detect local oxygen stoichiometries on the atomic level.
- **Application**
  - Find large revenue markets where high-T_C will be the enabling technology.

The above list, of course, is very subjective and certainly reflects my own particular prejudices. No one can predict whether answers to these challenges will take 10 weeks or 10 years, or indeed whether new, more important ones will arise shortly. Nonetheless, I think you will find those I did mention to include the "educated guesses" of most high-T_C investigators today. Perhaps the appropriate approach one should take toward present and future challenges in high temperature superconductivity, especially in applications, is best expressed by the Mexican proverb,

¡Mas vale paso que dure que trote que canse!

On that sentiment, I would like to thank the Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales, UNAM, for inviting me back again this year, and my friends and colleagues in Mexico, as well as those at the IBM Almaden Research Center, for numerous helpful discussions on the topics covered in this talk.

References

1. H. K. Onnes, Leiden Comm. **120b, 122b, 124c** (1911).
2. W. A. Little, Phys. Rev. **134**, 1416 (1964).
3. For earlier references and a review, see High Temperature Superconductivity, ed. by V. L. Ginzburg and D. A. Kirzhnits, (Consultants Bureau, New York, 1983 (Russian edition published 1976)).
4. D. C. Johnston, H. Prakash, W. H. Zachariasen and R. Viswanathan, Mat. Res. Bull. **8**, 777 (1973).
5. A. W. Sleight, J. L. Gillson and P. E. Bierstedt, Solid State Commun. **17**, 27 (1975).
6. J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Mueller, Z. Phys. **B64**, 189 (1986).
7. Z. Z. Sheng and A. M. Hermann, Nature **332**, 55 (1988); Z. Z. Sheng and A. M. Hermann, Nature **332**, 138 (1988); R. M. Hazen, L. W. Finger, R. J. Angel, C. T. Prewitt, N. L. Ross, C. G. Hadidiacos, P. J. Heaney, D. R. Veblen, Z. Z. Sheng, A. El Ali and A. M. Hermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1657 (1988); S. S. P. Parkin, V. Y. Lee, E. M. Engler, A. I. Nazzal, T. C. Huang, G. Gorman, R. Savoy and R. B. Beyers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 2539 (1988).
8. R. J. Cava, B. Batlogg, J. J. Krajewski, R. C. Farrow, L. W. Rupp, Jr., A. E. White, K. T. Short, W. F. Peck, Jr. and T. Y. Kometani, Nature **332**, 814 (1988).
9. Y. Tokura, H. Takagi and S. Uchida, Nature **337**, 345 (1989).
10. T. Siegrist, S. M. Zahurak, D. W. Murphy and R. S. Roth, Nature **334**, 231 (1988).
11. P. Lightfoot, D. R. Richards, B. Dabrowski, D. G. Hinks, S. Pei, D. T. Marx, A. W. Mitchell, Y. Zheng and J. D. Jorgensen, to appear in Physica C.
12. J. B. Torrance, Y. Tokura, A. I. Nazzal, A. Bezinge, T. C. Huang and S. S. P. Parkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 1127 (1988).
13. M. E. Lopez-Morales, B. T. Ahn, R. B. Beyers and P. M. Grant, 11th Winter Meeting on Low Temperature Physics, Cocoyoc, Morelos, Mexico, Progress in High Temperature Superconductivity (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990), present volume.
14. A. C. W. P. James, S. M. Zahurak and D. W. Murphy, Nature **338**, 240 (1989).
15. M. E. Lopez-Morales and P. M. Grant, J. Solid State Chem. **85**, 159 (1990).
16. C. G. Olson, R. Liu, A.-B. Yang, D. W. Lynch, A. J. Arko, R. S. List, B. W. Veal, Y. C. Chang, P.-Z. Jiang and A. P. Paulikas, Science **245**, 731 (1989).
17. Reported at Aspen Conference on Low Temperature Physics, January, 1990.
18. G. T. Yee, J. P. Collman and W. A. Little, Physica **C161**, 195 (1989).
19. P. Chaudhari, et al., submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
20. C. M. Varma, P. B. Littlewood, S. Schmitt-Rink, E. Abrahams and A. E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 1996 (1989).
21. K. A. Mueller, to appear in Z. Phys. B, March, 1990.
22. I. Morgenstern, private communication.
23. S. D. Conradson, I. D. Raistrick and A. R. Bishop, appearing in Science, June, 1990.

The following are from the
original page proofs.

HIGH TEMPERATURE SUPERCONDUCTIVITY:
CHALLENGES FOR THE 1990'S

P. M. Grant

IBM Research Division
Almaden Research Center
650 Harry Road
San Jose, California 95120-6099

ABSTRACT: We will review events leading to the discovery of high temperature superconductivity almost four years ago this month, discuss progress in synthesis, applications, and understanding of basic physical properties dating from that time, and finally address areas which are likely to present major challenges in the decade of the 1990's. With respect to the latter, we point to the necessity for thorough materials characterization as requisite for all attempts to build theoretical models for both the microscopic and macroscopic mechanisms of high temperature superconductivity.

Introduction

The science of superconductivity began, of course, with its discovery in 1911 by Kamerlingh Onnes,[1] and ushered in a new era of successive exciting discoveries in low temperature physics which continues to this day. It arose as a consequence of the steady improvement in gas liquifaction machinery during the 19th century, stressing the always important recurring interplay between science and technology as each progresses. Since Onnes' seminal measurement on mercury, literally hundreds of superconducting materials have been found. In fact, superconductivity is a rather pervasive phenomena in metallic compounds -- the number of metals and alloys that superconduct far outnumber those that do not. Whenever a new non-ferromagnetic metal is discovered, the odds that it will be a superconductor are very high. However, history also teaches that the transition temperature could be expected to be low, and, until recently, require liquid helium refrigeration.

For many years, workers in the field speculated and dreamt about the possibility that higher transition temperatures could be eventually achieved. One of the great triumphs of the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer theory had been the identification of the electron-phonon interaction as the "bosonic-glue" by which electrons paired to give the superconducting state. Yet this same mechanism seemed to constrain the upper limits of accessible transition temperatures to a few percent of the lattice Debye temperature or else the material would suffer the consequence of inevitable structural instabilities arising from ever stronger electron-phonon coupling. On the other hand, the mathematical framework of the BCS theory did not restrict the boson field to phonons -- in principle, any well-behaved boson would do. Therefore, in the 1960's Bill Little[2] at Stanford and Vasily Ginzburg[3] in the Soviet Union proposed structural models whereby the pairing bosons were excitons whose characteristic energies were 10-20 times that of phonons. A period of intense activity followed in the 70's searching for appropriate material embodiments, concentrating mostly on organic and/or low dimensional systems (none have been found with $T_c > 13$ K...yet!). Most importantly, the initiatives of Little and Ginzburg encouraged the exploration of all sorts of "exotic" compounds in pursuit of higher transition temperatures, leading eventually to the discovery of superconductivity at greater than 10 K in lithium titanate by Dave Johnston[4] and Pb-doped barium bismuth oxide by Art Sleight.[5] This proof that significant transition temperatures could occur in metal oxides paved the way for the breakthrough of Georg Bednorz and Alex Mueller.[6]

It is interesting -- perhaps ironic -- that Berndt Matthias, who uncovered more superconducting materials than any other researcher of his time, yet remained a vocal skeptic of the possibility of T_c's much above 30 K, and Alex Mueller, eventual co-discoverer of just such a compound, were contemporaries from the same European institute and worked initially with ferroelectric oxides. Matthias went on to concentrate on superconductivity in metal alloy systems (I am told he intended to begin a search for superconductivity in ferroelectrics and transition metal oxides shortly before his untimely death in 1980), whereas

Mueller proceeded to build his career on research in ferroelectricity. This background opened his thinking to the possibility that unusually strong carrier-lattice interactions, like those which might occur in mixed-valent transition metal oxide Jahn-Teller configurations, could provide a path to higher transition temperatures. Around 1984, along with Bednorz, he began such a search, initially in nickelate compounds, which led to the discovery of high temperature superconductivity in barium-doped La_2CuO_{4-y} in early 1986, almost four years ago this month. It remains to be seen whether Mueller's intuition was right or if some other pairing mechanism is responsible for high-T_c. Nonetheless, the principal lesson of the copper oxide perovskite discoveries is that unusual superconductivity is most likely to occur in unusual materials beyond the scope of the conventional current wisdom. It is important to understand that high temperature superconductivity could have been discovered much earlier than 1986. It is actually hard to make La_2CuO_{4-y} without small regions of excess oxygen which give rise to trace superconductivity...and the compound has been around since the 50's! Nobody, until Bednorz and Mueller, was motivated to look for superconductivity in this seemingly most unpromising class of materials.

Progress in New Materials

Layered copper oxide perovskites remain the paradigm for high temperature superconductivity. Since early 1988, no new copper oxide materials have been discovered with T_c higher than the thallium 2223 125 K compound.[7] Two of the major challenges for the decade of the 1990's will be to both reach out for higher transition temperatures and to search for non-CuO-based high temperature superconductors, perhaps expanding on the "medium-T_c" $Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}BiO_{3-y}$ compound discovered in 1988 by Bob Cava and his colleagues at AT&T.[8]

Although no new T_c records have been recently set in planar copper oxides, interesting and important variations still continue to be found. In 1989 the first materials exhibiting n-type behavior in the normal state with T_c = 21-24 K were synthesized using Nd_2CuO_{4-y} as the host structure,[9] and I will devote my discussion on new materials exclusively to these. Unlike La_2CuO_{4-y}, where divalent alkaline earth cations are substituted on the lanthanide site, the neodymium cations are replaced by tetravalent cerium and charge conservation implies the production of carriers with negative sign as indeed supported by the results of Hall and Seebeck effect measurements. Figure 1 compares the structures of the two compounds and the respective doping sites. The key difference is the location of the oxygens coordinated to the lanthanide position within each structure, resulting in the presence of a Cu-O plane apical oxygen in La_2CuO_{4-y} and its absence in Nd_2CuO_{4-y}. I believe this is the critical factor which permits delocalized holes in the former and delocalized electrons in the latter, and not vice versa, as confirmed by many unsuccessful attempts at cross-doping. If true, then the host structure choice for n-type superconductivity is restricted to the Nd_2CuO_{4-y} T' class, at least for the present, inasmuch as all other Cu-O planar perovskite structures have at least

La
(Sr)

Nd
(Ce)

O

O

Cu

Cu

La_2CuO_{4-y}

Nd_2CuO_{4-y}

Fig. 1. Unit cells of La_2CuO_{4-y} and Nd_2CuO_{4-y}.
Note the absence of apical oxygens
in the latter structure.

one apically coordinated plane and appear p-type, with any accompanying non-apical planes "dead" with regard to transport; e. g., the middle Cu-O layer in the 2223 structure. The only structural exception that I know is $Ca_{0.86}Sr_{0.14}CuO_2$; however, there is no obvious location for tetravalent cationic substitution. One of the synthetic challenges for the 90's will be for chemists to discover other n-type systems with hopefully higher T_c's, but right now the outlook seems bleak.

Regarding the nature of electrical conduction in the Cu-O planes, the present picture is that hole transport occurs on the network of oxygen ligands in p-type compounds. On chemical grounds, it would be difficult to argue that electrons move in the same band -- their more natural level would be derived from Cu^{+1} states. To explain the experimental existence of charge symmetry in high temperature superconductors, we may have to rethink the location of hole transport in the p-type materials. The Cu-O bond is clearly a hybridization of O 2p and Cu 3d states, and it may be overly simplistic to identify transport in either case as occurring on a specific ionic level.

There are several important differences in the chemical and physical properties of the p- and n-type compounds. First of all, the "apparent" carrier concentration range over which superconductivity appears is much narrower for the n-type systems[11] ($0.15 < x < 0.18$ in $Nd_{2-x}Ce_xCuO_{4-y}$) than for the analogous p-type materials[12] ($0.10 < x < 0.23$ in $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_{4-y}$). I say "apparent" because it is not clear to what extent doping fractions can be translated to carrier concentrations. In addition, achieving homogeneous doping by diffusing tetravalent cations in the Nd_2CuO_{4-y} host is quite difficult compared to the p-type materials. Doping alone does not lead to superconducting samples -- a tricky and mysterious oxygen reduction process must be undergone, and a variety of transition temperatures running from 21-29 K can be obtained depending on reduction conditions. The paper given by Maria Lopez-Morales[13] at this conference addresses some of these issues. One might expect to be able to obtain superconductivity by oxygen reduction of undoped Nd_2CuO_{4-y} in analogy to oxygen-rich La_2CuO_{4-y}. However, efforts to find superconductivity in Nd_2CuO_{4-y} this way by ourselves and others we have heard from have to date have failed -- another mystery. Understanding the details of oxygen processing in the 2-1-4 compounds presents an interesting challenge to materials scientists to start the decade of the 90's.

One very intriguing feature about Nd_2CuO_{4-y} is that it is the first structure to be successfully (i. e., "beneficially") doped with fluorine or any other anion for that matter. First accomplished at AT&T,[14] our confirming work done at IBM Almaden[15] is summarized in Figs. 2 and 3. We have strong indirect evidence, derived from testing several chemical synthetic routes, that fluorine substitutes as shown in Fig. 2 -- on an oxygen site attached to Nd. Attempts to fluorinate the Cu-O plane did not yield superconducting samples. It appears that a necessary requirement for anion doping is that an ancillary oxygen site, not coordinated in or to the Cu-O plane, be available for substitution. As in the cation case, the potential candidate host structures seem limited right now

Fig. 2. X—ray diffraction pattern and structure
for $Nd_2CuO_{3.75-y}F_{0.25}$. Impurity phases
and pattern simulation are indicated.

Fig. 3.   Resistivity vs. temperature for
$Nd_2CuO_{3.75-y}F_{0.25}$

to the Nd_2CuO_{4-y} class and another challenge of the 90's for chemists would be to discover other materials amenable to anion doping.

Finally, we note the activated dependence of the normal state resistivity on temperature of the $Nd_2CuO_{4-y-x}F_x$ sample as shown in Fig. 3. This behavior was characteristic of all initial reports on n-type samples, and, in my opinion, is an indication of the presence of a considerable amount of insulating phase even in more recently reported data where, although the overall slope of ρ vs. T is positive, the temperature dependence is not linear, especially in the region just above T_c.

Recent Experimental Results

The normal state properties of all previously known superconductors has been well described by Fermi liquid theory; i.e., that the ground and low lying excited states of a correlated many-body ensemble of electrons can be approximated in a single particle picture embodied by a Fermi surface with a singular boundary at zero temperature. Whether this is also true for high temperature superconductors has become a major theoretical issue. Many of the traditional magneto-oscillatory experiments used to probe Fermi surface structure are inaccessible to the new materials due to their exceedingly large upper critical fields. One generally accepted way of detecting the presence of a Fermi surface in metals is to use angle-resolve photoemission (ARPES) to look for conduction band dispersion in the vicinity of the Fermi energy and associated increases in photoemissive yield as the band crosses E_F. ARPES studies on single crystal Bi 2212, recently done by Olson[16] and colleagues at Ames-Los Alamos-Argonne, indeed reveal such behavior thus providing the strongest evidence yet that the high-T_c normal state is Fermi liquid-like. In one important respect, however, the data depart from classical Fermi liquid models in that the lifetime broadening of photoemissive yield peak varies as $E - E_F$ rather than the $(E - E_F)^2$ required by the conventional theory. More ARPES studies need to be done on other high-T_c compounds to firmly establish the nature of the normal state and its relationship to the Fermi liquid model -- another challenge for the 90's.

An additional signature of classical BCS-like superconductors is the presence of an optical gap in the superconducting state whose energy has a prescribed temperature dependence in the range $0 < T < T_c$. A large literature has developed on optical experiments in high-T_c materials, containing controversial data and interpretations as to whether a gap exists, or can be seen, its temperature dependence, and its magnitude, $2\Delta(T = 0)/kT_c$, the latter a measure of the strength of the carrier-boson coupling in the BCS-Eliashberg framework. Recently, Zack Schlesinger and Reuben Collins,[17] and their co-workers at IBM and Argonne, performed polarized reflectance experiments on untwinned single crystals of $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ in which they were able to look exclusively at optical excitations normal to the chain system and thus characteristic only of the Cu-O planes. They found a distinctive gap-like feature with no residual structure below T_c. This residual structure, seen by both the IBM group and others

In previous twinned single crystal studies, is ascribed by Schlesinger and Collins to contributions from chain conductivity projected onto all directions of polarization in the a-b plane. However, their gap-like structure has an exceedingly weak, non-BCS-like, temperature dependence of its energy, quite unlike that found in low-T_c compounds. Moreover, the magnitude of the gap is around $7 - 8$ kT_c, consistent with their earlier results and also with more recent tunneling data, yet enormously high compared to low-T_c superconductors. The optical data now appears quite definitive and a theory of the pairing mechanism must accommodate it -- one more future challenge.

Before going on to theoretical matters, I want to mention one experimental issue that seems to have been definitively settled in 1989 -- that is the matter of the pair wave function symmetry. Bill Little[18] and his students at Stanford, and independently Praveen Chaudhari[19] at IBM, have demonstrated the existence of persistent currents in composite rings of $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ in series with a conventional superconductor like Nb or Pb. Persistent current in such a structure could not be maintained unless the pair wave function possessed the same symmetry for each superconductor in series, and, since that symmetry is known to be s-wave in Nb and Pb, it must be the same in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ (more precisely, the highest symmetry allowed by its space group), otherwise the loop current would decay rapidly due to mismatch of pair functions of unlike symmetry. This conclusion is reinforced by the results of Chaudhari which were obtained on epitaxial thin films where the contact direction to the Pb bridge was along the c-axis.

Current Theoretical Issues

There is as yet no generally accepted theory of high-T_C. I made this remark at last year's Low Temperature Physics conference and it is even more appropriate today. One of the most actively discussed new ideas to emerge last year is the so-called "marginal" Fermi liquid picture proposed by Varma, Littlewood and Schmitt-Rink (AT&T), and Abrahams and Ruckenstein (Rutgers),[20] known collectively as the "five friends." Essentially they replace the energy scaling parameter (the Fermi energy) of conventional Fermi liquid theory by temperature and cut-off this temperature dependence when it exceeds the magnitude of the frequency in the frequency dependent susceptibility. That is, $\chi" \sim \omega/E_F$ goes over to $\chi" \sim \omega/T$, $\omega/T < 1$, $\chi" \sim 1$, $\omega/T > 1$. This purely empirical model yields agreement with the observed linear dependence of resistivity on temperature, with a frequency dependent Drude lifetime in the near-IR, with s-wave pairing and strong coupling and with a number of other experimental findings including the linear dependence of the photoemissive peak width with energy separation from the Fermi surface, already mentioned previously. However, what underlying physics may be behind the phenomenology remains unclear.

Some of the recent experimental results have brought about a re-thinking of RVB-related models. At a workshop in Aspen last week, Phil Anderson interpreted the ARPES results as evidence for charge-spin separation, one of the

mainstays of the theory from the beginning. However, it is the feeling of most theoreticians that the ARPES data presents the most serious experimental challenge to the RVB picture to date.

Lately, there has been a interesting renaissance of lattice vibration-type models. Alex Mueller[21] has recently made a detailed analysis of the oxygen isotope effect in a number of high-T_c compounds and by partitioning the small observed shifts among various oxygen sites, concludes there is evidence that the anharmonicity of the apical oxygen contributes to the enhancement or generation of superconductivity. Computer simulations by Morgenstern[22] on the 2D Hubbard model including an anharmonic electron-phonon interaction show that superconductivity can arise through such a channel. Recent copper x-ray absorption edge structure[23] on $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ indicates an antiferroelectric-like softening of a double-well apical oxygen potential accompanying the superconducting transition. The very existence of superconductivity in the n-type compounds, without apical oxygens, demands that such a mode cannot be the sole underlying pairing mechanism for high-T_c, but rather provides a "pump" to higher T_c in those compounds that possess this site. Two tests of this conjecture would be the finding of a total absence of an oxygen isotope effect in the n-type compounds and whether planar Cu-O systems without apical positions but with substantially higher transition temperatures ($T_c > 60$ K) can be eventually synthesized.

Role of Microscopic Inhomogeneities

It has long been recognized that macroscopic inhomogeneity (granularity, twinning, voids, phase separation, etc.) play a significant role in many of the physical properties of the perovskite high temperature superconductors. More attention now needs to be turned to effects of microscopic inhomogeneity. First of all, on what length scale do we define the term "microscopic?" In the framework of transport and superconducting properties, this means the carrier mean free path and pair coherence length, respectively. For high-T_c materials, both these parameters are extremely small, of the order 10-20 Å, and highly anisotropic. A perspective on these extremely short distances against the background of "uniformly" doped $La_{1.8}Sr_{0.2}CuO_4$ is given in Fig. 4, where we show several unit cells of the La_2CuO_{4-y} structure repeated along the a-b plane with La sites randomly doped with Sr, compared to the extent of the pair coherence length ellipsoid. It is clear that, by no means, can the material be considered uniform with respect to the coherence volume, even at the doping level considered to give the highest T_c in this compound. For lower levels of cationic substitution, the distribution on this length scale is even more non-uniform. The same reasoning can be applied to other systems where cationic substitution or non-stoichiometry is required to produce the carriers necessary to sustain metallic behavior and superconductivity. The only exception (perhaps) is $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-y}$ with y = 0. For $y \neq 0$, similar scales of inhomogeniety arise. The inescapable conclusion is that the length scale defining "granularity" in high-T_c compounds is far shorter than that dealt with in traditional low-T_c superconductors.

$$La_{1.8}Sr_{0.2}CuO_4$$

Coherence Length Ellipsoid:
$\xi_{ab} = 20$ Å; $\xi_c = 6$ Å

Fig. 4. $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ doped to $x = 0.2$ at randomly selected La sites, shown as solid dots, compared to the dimensions of the coherence length ellipsoid.

There are several pervasive experimental behaviors which indicate this to be the case. One is the universal observation that both the Meissner fraction and the shielding fraction decrease when one dopes or oxygen reduces a given high-T_c compound below its highest attainable transition temperature. In this situation, the shielding fraction measured by a.c. susceptibility becomes strongly magnetic field dependent in very small (< 1 gauss) applied fields. Moreover, only at the "optimum" carrier concentration, i.e., highest T_c, is a well-developed specific heat anomaly observed. Finally, as T_c is lowered through reduction or decreased doping, the normal state resistivity takes on an activated aspect as one approaches the critical temperature.

These observations all suggest some sort of non-bulk, percolative behavior reminiscent of granular superconductors, but at a length dimension far less than the traditional grain or particle size. The reduced transition temperature observed in $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_{4-y}$, for example, as x is decreased from 0.2, might arise from "size effects" due to agglomeration and separation of dopant on the scale of ζ_{ab} and ζ_c in analogy to similar behavior found in very small particles or very thin films of low-T_c materials. A consideration of Fig. 4 certainly gives one reason to believe this could happen. If true, the current picture of the dependence of superconducting properties on carrier concentration would have to be thoroughly re-examined. A formidable problem, and challenge, is to find materials characterization tools that can probe inhomogeneity and defects on such small dimensions and suitable for a wide variety of elements, especially oxygen.

Nanometer scale defects could also prove crucial to what were previously considered "macroscopic" properties, e.g., critical current. Evidence is accruing that the strongest pinning centers are associated with point defects, rather than at dislocations or grain boundaries as in previous type II super-conductors. Some estimates have placed the point defect density at one every fourth cell along the c-direction in high-J_c thin films. It is speculated this defect may be an oxygen vacancy, but, once again, there exists as yet no satisfactory tool to detect the presence or absence of this almost invisible element on the atomic scale.

Applications

A year ago I mentioned in my Winter Meeting talk that no major revenue producing applications were in store for high-T_c for the foreseeable future -- this still remains true. No new ideas or technological applications have emerged where high temperature superconductors would enable a large new market vastly beyond the capability of the low-T_c materials. Such an event is exactly what was hoped for at the beginning of high-T_c and which will be needed in the future for widespread application and to sustain basic research at the current high level. I believe this requirement is far more important than incremental increases in T_c and J_c and improvements in processibility, vital as these factors may be. It is not enough to simply target conventional power,

electromechanical and electronic technologies that low-T_C had taken aim at long ago. For the commercialization of high-T_C to really take off, someone has to come up with an application like data processing and mass communication that required planar semiconductor technology, or the huge airline and travel industry that was enabled by the jet engine. I am not saying this will not happen for high-T_C, but where, when and how are the critical questions.

While awaiting this momentous occasion, there has nonetheless been much progress toward the goals of high critical currents and more flexible materials processing for both bulk and thin film applications. Bulk wires and tapes now exist with $J_c \sim 800 - 1000 A/cm^2$ at fields of 1000 gauss in the laboratory which will allow the prototyping of small electric motors and power distribution cables. These figures are even more impressive with respect to thin films. Highly oriented films can now be obtained on a variety of substrates by both sputtering and laser ablation that have J_c's in excess of 10^6 A/cm^2 at 77 K in fields as high as 1 Tesla. Such achievements demonstrate that high-T_C materials show promise of penetrating the traditional application areas of low-T_C superconductors, but, as noted above, there is not yet any indication of expanding greatly thereby the presently existing superconductivity market.

Summary

Research in high temperature superconductivity continues apace, but not with the rapid growth seen in the last two years. The January 1st issue of High-T_C Update lists 73 preprints from 15 countries (52 from the USA), fairly typical of recent months. More work is being reported from Eastern Europe and small Third World countries (e.g. Sri Lanka) underlying the truly international nature of the field.

We have tried in this talk to identify some of the challenges that need addressing in the decade of the 90's -- actually, they should be thought of as opportunities -- which we will now summarize.

- New Materials
  - Continue to discover new copper oxide compounds, perhaps with higher transition temperatures, but most importantly to help understand the mysteries of high temperature superconductivity.
  - Explore whether metal oxide systems not based on copper can be found which are metallic and superconducting at liquid nitrogen temperatures.
  - Search to extend the class of n-type materials, especially with higher transition temperatures in mind, in order to further probe lattice structure requirements for high temperature superconductivity,
  - Understand the necessity for oxygen reduction in the n-type compounds and whether other examples of anion doping can be found.
  - Persist in exploring "off the beaten path" materials, such as organics, as potential candidates for high temperature superconductors.

– **Experiment**
  - Continue studies relating to the applicability of Fermi liquid models to high-T_c, especially ARPES and other spectroscopies.
– **Theory**
  - Distill from a comparison of the properties and electronic structure of the p- and n-type compounds clues to the nature of high-T_c.
  - Accommodate the weak temperature dependence of gap and associated large magnitude compared to T_c.
  - Investigate physics underlying the "marginal" Fermi liquid model.
  - Continue to explore the role of the apical oxygen in high-T_c compounds.
  - Determine the true role of magnetic interactions in producing (or suppressing!) the pairing interaction in high temperature superconductivity, as well as pursue other possible pairing mechanisms.
– **Characterization**
  - Understand effects of nanometer scale inhomogeneities on transport and superconducting properties of doped and reduced materials.
  - Develop analytical methods to detect local oxygen stoichiometries on the atomic level.
– **Application**
  - Find large revenue markets where high-T_c will be the enabling technology.

The above list, of course, is very subjective and certainly reflects my own particular prejudices. No one can predict whether answers to these challenges will take 10 weeks or 10 years, or indeed whether new, more important ones will arise shortly. Nonetheless, I think you will find those I did mention to include the "educated guesses" of most high-T_c investigators today. Perhaps the appropriate approach one should take toward present and future challenges in high temperature superconductivity, especially in applications, is best expressed by the Mexican proverb,

¡Mas vale paso que dure que trote que canse!

On that sentiment, I would like to thank the Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales, UNAM, for inviting me back again this year, and my friends and colleagues in Mexico, as well as those at the IBM Almaden Research Center, for numerous helpful discussions on the topics covered in this talk.

References

1. H. K. Onnes, Leiden Comm. **120b, 122b, 124c** (1911).
2. W. A. Little, Phys. Rev. **134**, 1416 (1964).
3. For earlier references and a review, see High Temperature Superconductivity, ed. by V. L. Ginzburg and D. A. Kirzhnits, (Consultants Bureau, New York, 1983 (Russian edition published 1976)).
4. D. C. Johnston, H. Prakash, W. H. Zachariasen and R. Viswanathan, Mat. Res. Bull. **8**, 777 (1973).
5. A. W. Sleight, J. L. Gillson and P. E. Bierstedt, Solid State Commun. **17**, 27 (1975).
6. J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Mueller, Z. Phys. **B64**, 189 (1986).
7. Z. Z. Sheng and A. M. Hermann, Nature **332**, 55 (1988); Z. Z. Sheng and A. M. Hermann, Nature **332**, 138 (1988); R. M. Hazen, L. W. Finger, R. J. Angel, C. T. Prewitt, N. L. Ross, C. G. Hadidiacos, P. J. Heaney, D. R. Veblen, Z. Z. Sheng, A. El Ali and A. M. Hermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1657 (1988); S. S. P. Parkin, V. Y. Lee, E. M. Engler, A. I. Nazzal, T. C. Huang, G. Gorman, R. Savoy and R. B. Beyers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 2539 (1988).
8. R. J. Cava, B. Batlogg, J. J. Krajewski, R. C. Farrow, L. W. Rupp, Jr., A. E. White, K. T. Short, W. F. Peck, Jr. and T. Y. Kometani, Nature **332**, 814 (1988).
9. Y. Tokura, H. Takagi and S. Uchida, Nature **337**, 345 (1989).
10. T. Siegrist, S. M. Zahurak, D. W. Murphy and R. S. Roth, Nature **334**, 231 (1988).
11. P. Lightfoot, D. R. Richards, B. Dabrowski, D. G. Hinks, S. Pei, D. T. Marx, A. W. Mitchell, Y. Zheng and J. D. Jorgensen, to appear in Physica C.
12. J. B. Torrance, Y. Tokura, A. I. Nazzal, A. Bezinge, T. C. Huang and S. S. P. Parkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 1127 (1988).
13. M. E. Lopez-Morales, B. T. Ahn, R. B. Beyers and P. M. Grant, 11th Winter Meeting on Low Temperature Physics, Cocoyoc, Morelos, Mexico, Progress in High Temperature Superconductivity (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990), present volume.
14. A. C. W. P. James, S. M. Zahurak and D. W. Murphy, Nature **338**, 240 (1989).
15. M. E. Lopez-Morales and P. M. Grant, J. Solid State Chem. **85**, 159 (1990).
16. C. G. Olson, R. Liu, A.-B. Yang, D. W. Lynch, A. J. Arko, R. S. List, B. W. Veal, Y. C. Chang, P.-Z. Jiang and A. P. Paulikas, Science **245**, 731 (1989).
17. Reported at Aspen Conference on Low Temperature Physics, January, 1990.
18. G. T. Yee, J. P. Collman and W. A. Little, Physica **C161**, 195 (1989).
19. P. Chaudhari, et al., submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
20. C. M. Varma, P. B. Littlewood, S. Schmitt-Rink, E. Abrahams and A. E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 1996 (1989).
21. K. A. Mueller, to appear in Z. Phys. B, March, 1990.
22. I. Morgenstern, private communication.
23. S. D. Conradson, I. D. Raistrick and A. R. Bishop, appearing in Science, June, 1990.